

**TENNESSEE  
FY 2009 AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT (RECOVERY ACT) LUST TRUST FUND  
COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT WORK PLAN**

The outcome of this work plan will be leaking underground storage tank sites cleaned up to levels necessary to protect public health and the environment and allow reuse of contaminated properties, while creating and/or preserving jobs.

The Recovery Act funding opportunity and work plan must still comply with OSWER Directive 9650.10A, *LUST Trust Fund Cooperative Agreement Guidelines*, and OSWER Directive 9610.10A, *Cost Recovery Policy for the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Fund*. [Reference: OSWER Directives 9650.10A and 9610.10A]

<b>Goal: Goal 3. Land Preservation and Restoration</b>			
<b>Objective 2. Restore Land: By 2011, control the risks to human health and the environment by mitigating the impact of accidental or intentional releases and by cleaning up and restoring contaminated sites or properties to appropriate levels.</b>			
<b>Sub-Objective 2. Clean Up and Revitalize Contaminated Land: By 2011, control the risks to human health and the environment on contaminated properties or sites through cleanup, stabilization, or other action, and make land available for reuse.</b>			
<b>Cooperative Agreement: 2L</b>			
<b>TASK</b>	<b>ACTIVITY</b>	<b>REFERENCE</b>	<b>EPA RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STATE COMMITMENTS</b>
<b>ADMINISTRATION (Planning, Evaluation &amp; Reporting)</b>			
1.	Identify all shovel ready sites to be addressed with Recovery Act LUST Trust Fund monies onto a Consolidated Budget in accordance with previously established Region 4 FY09 LUST assistance agreement guidance.	OSWER Directive 9650.10A,	Submit with final work plan within 30 days of award, or 07/13/09
	Obligate funds for contracts, subgrants, or similar transactions for at least 35 percent of the funds, and expend at least 15 percent of funds within 9 months of the award.	OUST Recovery Act Program Guidance – June 2009	The Consolidated Budget will be included with the final work plan, except for Part III (individual site plans). The latitude and longitude for each site will be included on these site work plans. The site work plans will be complete prior to the first reporting period.  The Division intends to use the fund to clean up 44 petroleum UST facilities.  The Division of Underground Storage Tanks intends to obligate at least 35% of the funds and expend at least 15% of the funds within nine months of the award.
2.	Develop and implement a site prioritization system that incorporates the priorities in Section 9003(h) of RCRA.	OSWER Directive 9650.10A, RCRA Section 9003(h)	Pursuant to the OSWER Directive 9650.10A (pp. 15 & 16), the Tennessee Prioritization system is used to determine a site's priority for Trust Fund expenditures with emphasis on immediate health and safety threats.

# ADMINISTRATION (Planning, Evaluation & Reporting) continued

3.	Implement quality assurance practices pursuant to EPA approved Quality Management Plan (QMP), and develop project-specific Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPPs) as needed for the LUST investigations and cleanup projects.	OSWER Directive 9650.10A Section XI, 40 CFR §31.45	<p>TDEC has agreed to develop and implement a Quality Management Plan for activities that generate and utilize environmental data. This Quality Management Plan requires TDEC to insure that environmental data generated and it uses to make environmental decisions is scientifically sound, legally defensible and meets defined precision and accuracy requirements. 40 CFR 30, 40 CFR 31 and 48 CFR 46 and the Department's contracts with contractors require that those receiving federal funds also meet quality management system requirements.</p> <p>UST submitted a draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for field sampling and monitoring at UST sites in January 2006. Following the January 2006 QAPP submittal, TDEC attended several "Quality" conferences, met with Denise Goddard, and initiated several conference calls with Denise Goddard. A second draft QAPP was submitted in November 2008 and approval with comments was returned to TDEC in December 2008. TDEC is currently addressing the comments and will resubmit the QAPP for review upon completion.</p> <p>Once completed and approved by the EPA, this QAPP shall be used by both UST staff members and contractors employed by UST to complete activities funded by the Federal Leaking UST Trust Fund.</p>
----	---	---	--

# ADMINISTRATION (Planning, Evaluation & Reporting) continued

4.	Develop and maintain an adequately trained staff to carry out the enforcement, corrective action, and cost Recovery Activities described in this work plan.	OSWER Directive 9650.10A, EPA Order 5700.7		The Division will continue to send staff to all available training activities subject to travel and budget constraints. EPA supported travel expenses continue to improve our ability to participate in such activities and is appreciated. Additional EPA sponsored and funded technical assistance and training is needed. The Division provides in-house training annually during statewide staff meetings in the early fall, including sessions for corrective action case managers and compliance inspectors. Extensive training was conducted during the month of May and June 2009 on corrective action and costs. Additional training sessions are anticipated to be scheduled in the fall of 2009 and spring of 2010 for these areas as well this year as the department and budget allows. Additional training is conducted as needed basis on policy and guidelines development or revision
5.	Submit Quarterly Performance Reports. The narrative is to include the elements under the EPA Recommendations column to the right, as well as all activity measures reporting noted in this cooperative agreement work plan.  Davis-Bacon Act wage requirements reporting, if applicable.  Required Section 1512 reporting	OSWER Directive 9650.10A, 40 CFR §31.40 OUST Recovery Act Program Guidance – June 2009 2 CFR 176.20(c) 40 CFR 31.43 OMB Guidance on Recipient Reporting	Quarterly Reports  Oct. 10 Jan. 10 April 10 July 10	The Division will compile and send the required information quarterly. The reports will include: 1) The status of each work plan task; 2) A summary of accomplishments and discussion of problems impacting or expected to impact performance; 3) Identification of tasks not on schedule and proposed dates of completion ; and 4) Davis-Bacon Act compliance verification and sampling  The Division will also compile and submit all the information required by the 1512 reporting guidelines.

# ADMINISTRATION (Planning, Evaluation & Reporting) continued

6.	<p>Participate in site visits, fiscal reviews and at least one formal, annual comprehensive performance evaluation by EPA staff regarding Recovery Act activities. The formal evaluation process will include discussions of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Accomplishments as measured against work plan commitments;</li> <li>b. The cumulative effectiveness of the work performed under all work plan components;</li> <li>c. Existing and potential problem areas; and</li> <li>e. Suggestions for improvement, including, where feasible, schedules for making improvements.</li> </ul>	<p>OSWER Directives 9610.6 and 9650.10A, 40 CFR §35.115, Memorandum of Agreement, EPA Order 5700.6 A1</p>	TBA	<p>The Division will assist and participate in site visits and fiscal reviews. We shall also conduct self-appraisals and participate in annual performance evaluations.</p>
----	---	---	-----	---

TASK	ACTIVITY	REFERENCE	DATE DUE	EPA RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STATE COMMITMENTS
CORRECTIVE ACTION				
1.	<p>Implement corrective actions that are consistent with the substantive requirements of 40 CFR §280.60 – 280.66, including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- investigate sites to evaluate the source and extent of contamination;</li><li>- review initial site characterization reports and determine need for further action;</li><li>- request and review reports of investigations of the magnitude and extent of soil and ground-water contamination;</li><li>- receive and review free product removal reports and determine practical extent of free product removal;</li><li>- receive, review, and evaluate required and voluntarily submitted corrective action plans and reports of the results of implementing the plans;</li><li>- assess how many individuals may have been exposed to petroleum contaminants and the seriousness of the exposure, and estimate resulting health risks;</li><li>- provide safe drinking water to residents at the site of a tank leak;</li><li>- provide for temporary or permanent relocation of residents; and</li><li>- clean up contaminated soil and water.</li></ul>	OSWER Directive 9650.10A, 40 CFR §280.60 – 280.66		<p>The Division has established investigation and cleanup regulations, policies and procedures for contractors to complete on behalf of the responsible party (RP). All guidance is available on the Division's website. All actions outlined in the first column are managed by the RP contractor with oversight from the Division to insure all procedures are followed in a timely manner. All reports and plans for each phase of the investigation and cleanup process are reviewed for technical merit, cost effectiveness and performance and maintained in the case file. All case work is tracked in the Division's cleanup database. The contractor seeks reimbursement for all reasonable and eligible expenses from the UST Fund. If the RP is unresponsive or untimely, the Division may utilize the state contractor to conduct the needed work and seek cost recovery from the RP.</p>

# **CORRECTIVE ACTION continued**

2.	Conduct public participation activities that are consistent with 40 CFR §280.67.	OSWER Directive 9650.10A, 40 CFR §280.67		The Division has regulatory requirements that the RP provide public notice for all Corrective Action Plans being evaluated by the Division for approval or termination.
3.	Include MTBE, other oxygenates, ethylene dibromide (EDB), and 1, 2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) as chemicals of concern during tank closure assessments, site assessments, and corrective action at RECOVERY ACT LUST Trust Fund sites. Analytical method must be capable of detecting EDB and 1,2-DCA at MCL. All ground water monitoring wells at RECOVERY ACT LUST Trust Fund sites must be sampled at least once for EDB and 1,2-DCA. Report detections of EDB and/or 1,2-DCA using previously agreed format.	OUST & Regional requirement	Quarterly reporting of detections	<p>MTBE (Method 8260B) analysis has been required since 1996 for site assessments and corrective action and has been required during tank closure since 7/1/00. EDB, EDC and lead analyses have been required to be assessed at aviation fuel sites via Methods 8260B and 200.7 respectively since 11/1/01. Samples will be collected for EDB at other sites when appropriate and Method 8011 utilized.</p> <p>Samples will be collected for MtBE, EDB and 1,2-DCA at LUST Trust sites and analyzed by a method with the appropriate detection limit. The Division will report quarterly any LUST Trust site where EDB and/or 1,2-DCA is detected in the groundwater above the MCL. All groundwater monitoring wells at LUST Trust sites will be sampled at least once for the presence of EDB and/or 1,2-DCA.</p> <p>Also, please refer to the 7/16/04 letter to John Mason and the 11/15/04 letter to Bill Truman for more information.</p>

# **CORRECTIVE ACTION continued**

4.	<p>On a quarterly basis, identify the following accomplishments utilizing Recovery Act funds during the project period. Include:</p> <p><u>Site Assessments Initiated</u>  <u>Total Assessments Initiated</u> (direct and indirect)  <u>Direct Assessments Completed</u>  <u>Site Assessments Completed</u>  <u>Total Assessments Completed</u> (direct and indirect)  <u>Direct Cleanups Initiated</u>  <u>Cleanups Initiated</u>  <u>Total Cleanups Initiated</u> (direct and indirect)  <u>Direct Cleanups Initiated</u>  <u>Cleanups Completed</u>  <u>Total Cleanups Completed</u> (direct and indirect)  <u>Direct Cleanups Completed</u></p>	<p>2006-2011 EPA Strategic Plan, EPA Order 5700.7, 40 CFR §31.40(b)          OUST Recovery Act Program Guidance – June 2009</p>	<p>Quarterly          Oct 10          Jan. 10          April 10          July 10</p>	<p>The Division will compile and send the required information quarterly. The reports will include:</p> <p><u>Site Assessments Initiated</u>  <u>Total Assessments Initiated</u> (direct and indirect)  <u>Direct Assessments Completed</u>  <u>Site Assessments Completed</u>  <u>Total Assessments Completed</u> (direct and indirect)  <u>Direct Cleanups Initiated</u>  <u>Cleanups Initiated</u>  <u>Total Cleanups Initiated</u> (direct and indirect)  <u>Direct Cleanups Initiated</u>  <u>Cleanups Completed</u>  <u>Total Cleanups Completed</u> (direct and indirect)  <u>Direct Cleanups Completed</u></p>
5.	<p>Incorporate sustainable remediation practices (Green Remediation) where possible.</p>	<p>OUST &amp; Regional recommendation          March 6, 2009 letter from ARA Stanley Meiburg to State Agency Commissioners</p>	<p>Report efforts Quarterly</p>	<p>Where possible, the Division will incorporate sustainable remediation practices at LUST Trust site cleanups funded by ARRA.</p>

TASK	ACTIVITY	REFERENCE	DATE DUE	EPA RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STATE COMMITMENTS
<b>COST RECOVERY</b>				
1.	Make reasonable efforts to recover Recovery Act LUST Trust Fund expenditures, including interest, from liable owners/operators.	OSWER Directive 9610.10A (Special Conditions) OUST Recovery Act Program Guidance – June 2009	Quarterly	The Division continues to cost recover against responsible parties whenever possible.
2.	Dedicate recovered LUST Trust Fund expenditures back to LUST Trust Fund-eligible activities.	OSWER Directive 9610.10A (Special Conditions) 40 CFR §31.25	Quarterly	The Division will continue to use the LUST Trust cost recovered funds at other LUST Trust Fund eligible sites and maintain a site specific accounting when those funds are expended. LUST Trust Funds recovered by the Division are maintained in their own cost center. The funds expended from this cost center for cleanup are tracked with a spreadsheet by site.
3.	Notify EPA promptly of any reduction in its authority to recover response expenditures (e.g., successful challenge to its statutory authority).	OSWER Directive 9610.10A (Special Conditions)	Immediate	The Division will notify the EPA of any reduction in the State's authority to recover expenditures of LUST Trust Fund monies.



**COST RECOVERY continued**

4.	Maintain supporting documentation and appropriate records in support of any future cost recovery efforts.	OSWER Directive 9610.10A (Special Conditions), LUST Trust Fund State Financial Management Handbook; Cost Recovery Policy for the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, May 1994; Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund State Financial Management Handbook (March 1989).	Available at formal EPA reviews or upon request by Office of Inspector General	The Division continues to maintain a site-specific accounting system as required by the EPA. Automated and paper systems are in use for LUST tracking. Pilot testing of a cost database by the three LUST Trust contractors was implemented in FY07-09. This database and task based system is tentatively scheduled to be implemented July 1, 2009 and will be able to track expenditures by site and by activity.
----	---	---	--	---

**RECOVERY ACT LUST TRUST FUND  
WORK PLAN ELEMENT ESTIMATES**

**STATE: TENNESSEE**

**PROJECT PERIOD: May 15, 2009 to September 30, 2011**

**BUDGET PERIOD: May 15, 2009 to September 30, 2011**

<b>WORK PLAN ELEMENT</b>	<b>STATE FUNDING</b>	<b>FEDERAL FUNDING</b>	<b>TOTAL FUNDING</b>	<b>WORKYEARS</b>
1. ADMINISTRATION				
2. CORRECTIVE ACTION		\$4,681,000	\$4,681,000	
3. COST RECOVERY				
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$4,681,000</b>	<b>\$4,681,000</b>	

LUST work plan completion check list:

- ✓ State authority certification to carry out the enforcement, corrective action and cost recovery activities attached, in accordance with OSWER Directive 9650.10A?
- ✓ Consolidated budget as needed to satisfy site-specific activity information requirement attached, in OSWER Directive 9650.10A?
- ✓ Prioritization system with sites addressed with Recovery Act LUST Trust Fund monies are in the higher priority classes?
- ✓ Training program description attached?
- ✓ Public participation program addresses federal requirements?
- ✓ Accounting practices for recovered LUST Trust Funds are well documented at the State?

**TENNESSEE FY 2009 LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK (LUST) RECOVERY ACT  
CONSOLIDATED BUDGET**

**PART I. CONSOLIDATED BUDGET FOR FY2009**

<b>1. OBJECT CLASS CATEGORY</b>	<b>2. RECOVERED FUNDS</b>	<b>3. CURRENT YEAR FUNDS FY2009</b>	<b>4. CONSOLIDATED BUDGET FY2009</b>
<b>a. PERSONNEL</b>		\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>b. FRINGE BENEFITS</b>		\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>c. TRAVEL</b>		\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>d. EQUIPMENT</b>		\$ 1,260,000	\$ 1,260,000
<b>e. SUPPLIES</b>		\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>f. CONTRACTUAL</b>	\$ 0	\$ 3,421,000	\$ 3,421,000
<b>g. CONSTRUCTION</b>			
<b>h. OTHER</b>		\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>i. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS</b>		\$ 4,681,000	\$ 4,681,000
<b>j. INDIRECT COSTS</b>		\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>k. TOTAL</b>		\$ 4,681,000	\$ 4,681,000
<b>l. STATE SHARE</b>		\$ 0	\$ 0

**PART II.A.**

**TN LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE RECOVERY ACT  
CONSOLIDATED BUDGET FOR FY2009-2010**

**as of 6/30/09**

1. FACILITY NAME	2. Priority Ranking	Priority Score	Facility ID #	3. Estimated Total Costs	4. Current Expenditures (as of 6/30/09)	5. Unliquidated Obligations (as of 6/30/09)	6. FY09 Planned Spending
1 Old Mountaineer	22	115	1-100079	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000
2 Mills Property	Not Ranked		1-100185	\$ 35,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,000
3 Tommy's Community Market	11	280	1-300007	\$ 300,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 208,000
4 Dave's Oil #1	23	107	1-300046	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
5 Johnny's Merit Mart	15	260	1-300169	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 288,000
6 West Main Amoco	Not Ranked		1-300206	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
7 Dale Broyles/Ben Gregory	Not Ranked		1-309014	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000
8 Martin Grocery	14	270	1-340011	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
9 Jr. Stanton/Sutherland Market	Not Ranked		1-379006	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
10 Poplar Ridge	7	350	1-460078	\$ 400,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 208,000
11 Jones & Rice	4	402	1-869001	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
12 Hop-Inn Food Store #163	Not Ranked		1-900145	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
13 AAA Sign Service	Not Ranked		1-900354	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
14 H&P Amoco	Not Ranked		1-900373	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
15 Omar's Awning	Not Ranked		1-900458	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
16 Macy Lee Texaco aka Friendsville Texaco	8	337	2-059005	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 218,000
17 Sunnyside Shell	13	272	2-130052	\$ 800,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 303,000
18 Cedar Fork Market	10	297	2-130090	\$ 800,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 208,000
19 S&L Market	19	207	2-290046	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 208,000
20 C & W Market	Not Ranked		2-290066	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
21 C & M Cabinet	17	222	2-290105	\$ 55,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,000
22 Potter's Market	5	365	2-470518	\$ 300,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 208,000
23 Halcomb Grocery	Not Ranked		2-620059	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 208,000
24 Hilltop Grocery	3	480	2-730059	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 233,000
25 Holloway Trading Post	2	575	3-040042	\$ 225,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 218,000
26 Bobs Gulf Station	Not Ranked		3-060017	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
27 Roy Ledford Video Shop	21	190	3-060186	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000

**PART II.A.**

	1. FACILITY NAME	2. Priority Ranking	Priority Score	Facility ID #	3. Estimated Total Costs	4. Current Expenditures (as of 6/30/09)	5. Unliquidated Obligations (as of 6/30/09)	6. FY09 Planned Spending
28	41 A Fill Up	Not Ranked		3-260031	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
29	Majic Market	Not Ranked		3-330483	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
30	Evans Disposal Service	6	365	3-331196	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
31	Woods Memorial	20	195	3-540215	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 218,000
32	Pappy John Grocery (aka 3-619007)	Not Ranked		3-610025	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
33	Rocky Mound	18	210	4-560064	\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 218,000
34	Fleet Transport	Not Ranked		5-190270	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
35	Whites Creek Gulf	Not Ranked		5-191545	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
36	Crown One	Not Ranked		6-160234	\$ 40,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,000
37	Southgate Auto	Not Ranked		6-910080	\$ 40,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000
38	Greg's One Stop	Not Ranked		7-170038	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
39	Charles Archie Residence	12	277	7-929006	\$ 700,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 208,000
40	Quick Stop #2	1	639	8-120059	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 494,000
41	Hardeman Fayette Farmers Co-op	16	260	8-240116	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 82,000
42	Richards Agency	9	318	8-380104	\$ 800,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 273,000
43	Eastview Gulf	Not Ranked		8-550015	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
44	Clark Lindsey Grocery	Not Ranked		8-570058	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
					\$ 9,215,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,681,000

Not Ranked due to lack of information  
List of sites subject to change

**TENNESSEE LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK (LUST) RECOVERY ACT  
CONSOLIDATED BUDGET**

**PART II.B. - RESERVE FUNDS FOR FY2009-2010**

***INSTRUCTIONS:***

- Fill in the amount for all blanks.
- Items (1) (2), and (3), are from Parts I and II.A., as indicated.
- Reserve is calculated by subtracting the sum of items (2) and (3) from item (1).
- If item (5) is greater than ten percent (10%) of item (4) or greater than \$250,000, please provide an explanation in the Comments section below.
- If item (5) is negative, please explain in the Comments section below.

---

(1)	Total Contractual Funds (As listed in Part I, block 7.f.)	= \$ <u>4,681,000</u>
(2)	Total Un-liquidated Obligation (As listed in Part II.A, block 5.j.)	= \$ <u>0</u>
(3)	Total Planned Funding (As listed in Part II.A, block 6.j.)	= \$ <u>4,681,000</u>
(4)	Total of lines (2) and (3)	= \$ <u>4,681,000</u>
(5)	Reserve Funds (Subtract line 4 from line 1.)	= \$ <u>0</u>
(6)	Total Adjusted Need (Subtract Totals of Current Expenditures (Part II.A, block 4.L), Un-liquidated Obligations (5.L), and FY08 Target Spending (6.L) from Estimated Total Costs (3.L)	= \$ <u>4,534,000</u>

**Comments:**

**TENNESSEE FY 2009**

## LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK (LUST) RECOVERY ACT CONSOLIDATED BUDGET

**PART II.C. - SITES REMOVED FROM LUST RECOVERY ACT CONSOLIDATED BUDGET**

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

- Identify all LUST Trust Fund sites that were removed from the Consolidated Budget during the State's last fiscal year . Include the corresponding facility ID numbers
- List the date the site was removed from the Consolidated Budget.
- Identify with an X in one of the following three (3) columns why the site was removed as a LUST Trust Fund site:
  - ↑ Cleanup Completed: Site has met State regulatory standards for no further action.
  - ↑ Transferred: Site determined to be eligible for the State Fund or returned to Responsible Party for continued cleanup actions.
  - ↑ Non-eligible: Release determined to have originated from a source not regulated as a petroleum UST under Subtitle I of RCRA.

[illegible]